

# Reliability Tools:

Reliability tools exist by the dozens: **what** are the tools, **why** use the tools, **when** should I use the tools, and **where** should I use the tools? Click on the tools below for answers.

<b>Reliability Tools</b>				
<a href="#"><u>Accelerated Testing</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Design Review</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>HALT</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Monte Carlo</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Reliability Engineering</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Availability</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Effectiveness</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>HASS</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Normal Distribution</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Reliability Growth</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Bathtub Curves</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Electronic Components</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Life Cycle Cost</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>OEE</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Reliability Policies</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Block Diagram Models</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>ESS</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Life Units</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Pareto Distribution</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Reliability Testing</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Capability</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Events/Incidents</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Load-Strength</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Poisson Distribution</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Simultaneous Testing</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Configuration Control</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Exponential</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Lognormal</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Probability Plots</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Software Reliability</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Contract For Reliability</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Failure</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Maintainability</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Process Reliability</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Sudden Death Testing</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Cost Of Unreliability</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Failure Forecast</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Maintenance</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>QFD</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>TPM</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Critical Items List</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Failure Rates</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Maintenance Engineering</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Reliability</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Weibaves Estimates</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Data</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Fault Tree Analysis</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Management's Role</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Reliability Audits</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Weibull Analysis</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Decision Trees</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>FMEA</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Mean Time</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>RBDs</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Weibull Corrective Action</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Dependability</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>FRACAS Systems</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Mechanical Component Interactions</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Reliability-Centered Maintenance</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Weibull Database</u></a>

The details about these tools will be brief as books are written about each item. Think of the presentations below as hors d'oeuvres (a little snack food or starters)—not the main course.

The most important reliability tool is a **Pareto distribution based on money—specifically based on the cost of unreliability** which directs attention to work on the most important money problem first. No magic bullet exists for reliability issues, don't waste your time looking for a single magic tool—none exist!

### **Accelerated Testing-**

- What:** A test method of increasing loads to quickly produce age-to-failure data with only a few data points are then scaled to reflect normal loads.
- Why:** The benefit of accelerated testing is to save time and money while quantifying the relationships between stress and performance along with identifying design and manufacturing deficiencies to get useful data quickly and at low cost.
- When:** Usually performed during the development of devices, components, or systems. Also applies to items that have been in service to obtain a metric needed to show how the item is performing under heavy loads. Accelerate testing is a useful method for solving old, nagging, problems within a production process.
- Where:** Used for correlating test results with real life conditions.

[Return to top](#)

### **Availability-**

- What:** A tool for measuring the percent of time an item or system is in a state of readiness where it is operable and can be committed to use when called upon. Availability ceases because of a downing event that causes the item/system to become unavailable to initiate a mission when called upon. In the simplest view the metric is  $\text{availability} = \text{uptime} / (\text{uptime} + \text{downtime})$ . For many other definitions see [MIL-HDBK-338](#), section 5.
- Why:** The measure is important for knowing the commitment of time for performing the mission and it usually only involves the use of arithmetic.
- When:** Often the measurement tool is based on past experiences and the complement of the measurement tool addresses unavailability to perform the task.
- Where:** In design of a system it is a calculated value and in operation of a system it is a performance index that is often easy to use and provides an index that is understandable to the average person. Today there is a great tendency to “Enronize” availability metrics by using uptime metrics that present data in the best light (an issue of data integrity) to maximize managerial bonuses by excusing (deducting) downtime from the calculations to put “lipstick on the pig”. Use the [KISS](#) principle. Think of availability in terms of the investor's typical year of 8760 hours. The no-excuse annual metric in hours is  $\text{availability} = \text{uptime} / 8760$ . Suddenly you'll find a metric of great interest to

investors that can be benchmarked as a financial issue, and thus motivate the management team to solve real issues of importance to the business. Please note, you can have high availability but many failures and thus low reliability as [availability ≠ reliability](#). Likewise, you can have high availability, but little output so team the metric with [effectiveness](#) to get the complete story.

[Return to top](#)

### **Bathtub Curves-**

- What:** The concept is derived from the human life experience involving infant mortality, chance failures, plus a wearout period of life since data for births and deaths is accumulated by government agencies. Most equipment lacks the birth/death recording by government agencies and most non-human systems can be regenerated to live/die many times before relegation to the scrap heap.
- Why:** Failure rates are different for both people and equipment at different phases of operation and the medicine to be applied to both humans and equipment need to be considered for effectively treating the roots of the problem.
- When:** The concept is useful during design, operation, and maintenance of equipment and systems to understand the failure mechanisms
- Where:** It explains the human experiences to the ordinary person to relate equipment/system failures to those experienced in real life so as to coordinate the design, operation and maintenance of equipment. For other definitions see [MIL-HDBK-338](#), section 9.

[Return to top](#)

### **Block Diagram Model (same as Reliability Block Diagram Models)-**

- What:** Reliability block diagram (RBD) models are graphical representations of a calculation methodology for reliability systems.
- Why:** The RBD models allow calculation of system reliability based on knowing/assuming failure details of the components, starting with the least component and growing the model to the greatest system to predict performance from the elements.
- When:** RBDs are used in upfront designs as a performance parameter and after the system is constructed to ferret out poor performing blocks that limit the system performance.
- Where:** Frequently used as a trade-off tool to search for the lowest long cost of ownership and to help sell alternative courses of action for moderating the effects of reliability issues or overcoming the poor performance by alternative designs where the results can be calculated before building the system as the results of the calculations provide knowledge about availability, maintenance interventions required for failures, and the number of spare parts required to sustain operations. For other definitions see [MIL-HDBK-338](#), sections 4 and 6.

[Return to top](#)

### **Capability-**

- What:** A measure of how well the product performance meets objectives. In short, how well are the outputs actually accomplished against a standard? Capability is frequently the product of efficiency \* utilization.
- Why:** Capability is a component of the [effectiveness equation](#) and usually under the control of production.
- When:** Data for this metric is frequently produced by the accounting department each month as a segment of the financial reports for the purpose of handling variances against the standards.
- Where:** Frequently in the effectiveness measure it is a weak point (as a measure of how well the production process does the job for which it was purchased) requiring substantial improvement that cannot be solved by the usual reliability and maintainability (RAM) tools. However, this metric may be deficient from the original design (an issue of design effectiveness) of the system or from the way the system is operated (an issue of use effectiveness).

[Return to top](#)

### **Configuration Control-**

- What:** Configuration control is involved with the management of change by providing traceability of failures back into the design standard. If the design details are not specified, the design will not contain the requirements and thus implementation of the project will be hit or miss for achieving the desired end results, beginning with the conceptual design and resulting in the operating facility.
- Why:** With active configuration control you know where items are used and contained, where and why they were installed, where signal originate, what items are used where and in what environments, what drawing revisions have occurred and you know if the product conforms to the drawings and specifications, what alternate materials/components have been used, and what test reports/certifications are available as original documents for review.
- When:** Configuration control begins after the first design review to build an unbroken chain of traceability to aid in avoiding surprises in the field which would destroy the designed-in criteria for availability, reliability, maintainability, and cost effectiveness established as a portion of the original design criteria.
- Where:** Frequently these documentation details are assembled into a dossier with third party witnessing for use in validating conformance to the design requirements and provided to the owner of the equipment as witness documents.

[Return to top](#)

### **Contracting For Reliability-**

- What:** Tell your vendors what you want, and want what you say. Provide explanations of the objectives in written contracts in terms the vendors will understand.
- Why:** If you can't clearly spell out the requirements for availability, reliability, and maintainability the contractors cannot make these issues features of the design. Thus, it is important to be specific in the features the design must manifest. Explanations such as: "You know what I want and what I need, just

do it quickly” are self-defeating expressions of vague generalities that lead to inferior designs and constant arguments. Be specific about requirements for building [reliability block diagrams](#), using [quality function deployment](#), performing [failure mode and effects analysis](#), conducting [fault tree analysis](#), and finally, conducting [design reviews for reliability](#).

**When:** Write the specifications before procurement begins. Plan to spend time with your own purchasing department to explain the details and sell the team on the financial advantages for including reliability requirements into the specifications. Likewise, spend time selling your vendors on the requirements and why they are stated.

**Where:** These are up front decisions to avoid replication of previous problems that were built into previous designs and never corrected.

[Return to top](#)

### **Cost Of Unreliability-**

**What:** The cost of unreliability is a big-picture view of system failure costs, described in annual terms, for a manufacturing plant as if the key elements were reduced to a series block diagram for simplicity. It looks at the production system and reduces the complexity to a simple series system where failure of a single item/equipment/system/processing-complex causes the loss of productive output along with the total cost incurred for the failure. If the system IS sold out, then the cost of unreliability must include all appropriate business costs such as lost gross margin plus repair costs, scrap incurred, etc. If the system is NOT sold out, and make-up time is available in the financial year, then lost gross margin for the failure cannot be counted. The cost of unreliability is a management concern connected to management’s two favorite metrics: time and money.

**Why:** In private enterprise, failures must be concerned from a financial viewpoint and not a “gear-head” approach of simply counting the number of failures; you must also speak the language of the enterprise, which describes events by monetary measures over a period of time. The annual cost for failures is usually not stated in a clear-cut manner nor are failure costs summarized by a system/sub-system to identify the weak links in a monetary fashion so that appropriate action is taken to reduce the annual cost of unreliability by building a clear [Pareto distribution](#) to attack the vital (high cost) areas with an action plan to reduce failures (unreliability) and to reduce the cost of unreliability.

**When:** For new a new plant, this can be a design criteria to limit costs of unreliability for competitive reasons in the marketplace. You must make the hidden costs of failures obvious as a portion of the strategic plan. For an existing plant, this can be an exercise in defining the cost of unreliability and building a long-term plan to reduce the cost of failures as a portion of the tactical plan.

**Where:** This activity is best performed with high-level involvement of the management team to provide fundamental understanding of the size of the icebergs about to rip out the underbelly of the plant and to involve the organization in a plan to reduce the costs so that profits are pushed upward

because of the improvements. If the cost of unreliability cannot be reduced, then the costs become extra weight for the saddlebags in the race for survival.

[Return to top](#)

### **Critical Items List-**

- What:** The critical items list is a top-level summary of problems/cost used for discussions with management about key reliability issues. The summary list converts technical details to a summary of costs and time while placing the issues into a Pareto distribution explained in terms of money and the vital few problems to be solved for competitive reasons.
- Why:** The purpose of the critical items list is to focus management's attention on items that need to be resolved during the design phase as a corrective action loop for influencing the lifetime costs.
- When:** The list starts with the first design review as issues are disclosed in design reviews for reliability.
- Where:** The critical items list is presented to top-level management as issues to be accepted or resolved before paper plans become steel and concrete.

[Return to top](#)

### **Data-**

- What:** [Data](#) is the informational energy that runs the reliability improvement machine. Data is acquired at great cost. Data needs to be retained and used to prevent future failure events. Proper use of data provides an understanding of failure mechanisms and prevents reoccurrence of bad events that cause safety or high-cost failures to occur. Reliability data requires definition of a failure. Failures can be catastrophic failures or slow degradation—you decide by defining the failures. The units of the measure for the data must be in units of the degradation—sometimes it is hours, some times it is miles, and so forth—in short, whatever motivates the failure. Reliability always ceases with a failure or a removal from service in some aged condition that then generates a category of data called a suspension or censored data. Data is information in the form of facts, figures, or engineering databases that is obtained from engineering tests, experiments, or actual operating conditions. Reliability data is often incomplete as the exact times to failure are rarely known or recorded with much precision so that only partial information is available for analysis. Reliability data comes in two forms: 1) age-to-failure data, and 2) censored/suspended data such as occurs when unfailed items are removed from service or when they fail due to a different failure mode than we are studying—this is useful information and part of the data set. Some data is better than no data for resolving reliability issues.
- Why:** Data is the information that, when used in an informed manner, helps prevent repetition of bad history and allows an enlightened approach to rationally solving a reliability issue using facts and figures. Intelligent use of data for reliability issues provides the objective evidence needed for helping to solve the root cause of failures.

**When:** Databases of reliability information of past experience is very helpful for predicting future failure events. The data is helpful if failure rates, or the reciprocal of failures rates is described in mean times to failure which reduces the information to an average failure rate or average time to failure. The reliability data is particularly valuable if retained for components as a Weibull database with shape factor beta and scale factor eta.

**Where:** The data is useful for understanding failure modes, for predicting future failures for a population of equipment during the design stage, and for predicting future failures with subsequent increases in the aging of equipment. The role of the reliability engineer is to acquire the failure data and convert the data into useful information for both current and future use.

[Return to top](#)

### Decision Trees-

**What:** Most business decisions have considerable uncertainty, which implies at least two outcomes if you choose a course of action. Making decisions in the face of uncertainty requires the costs for taking action and the probability along with the cost for not taking action and the probability of the occurrence. In most cases the probabilities are not well known (maybe to one significant digit) and the costs are not well known (maybe to \$10000). The quantitative assessment is called risk assessment. The issue is to take these not-well identified issues and devise a strategy that can minimize exposure to risk for the business. Decision trees are graphical representation of a methodology to reach the expected values for the decision so as to take or not-take action.

**Why:** Most business decisions have no exact answers, i.e., no black and white answers but rather shades of gray. The use of the tool is to help decide which course of action may be to the advantage of the business given the best estimates that can be made.

**When:** Decisive details will only be known into the future and decisions have to be made today, so use of decision trees are tools to help wisely span from today into the future with the wisest decisions that can be made from sketchy data.

**Where:** If you have absolute data, use it. Most decisions must be made with indecisive information that requires decisions about the odds for a given event, usually based on estimates—the wiser the estimate the better the decision, taking into account the probabilities of the outcomes and the money involved in the decision. Use this tool when few details are available and you must be the pioneer to cut through the forest to reach the promised land of opportunity and profitable ventures.

[Return to top](#)

### Dependability-

**What:** The International Electrical Congress ([IEC](#)) defines dependability as “Dependability describes the [availability](#) performance and its influencing factors: [reliability](#) performance, [maintainability](#) performance and [maintenance](#) support performance.” [MIL-HDBK-338](#) defines dependability differently, as a measure of the degree to which an item is operable and capable of

performing its required function at any (random) time during a specified mission profile, given that the item is available at mission start. (Item state during a mission includes the combined effects of the mission-related system R&M parameters but excludes non-mission time; see [availability](#).)

Dependability is related to reliability with the intention that dependability would be a more general concept than the measurable issues of reliability, maintainability, and maintenance.

- Why:** The key dependability issue is to make equipment and processes work as advertised, which is, without failure. Dependability aims at facilitating cooperation by all parties concerned (supplier, organization, and customer by fostering an understanding of the dependability needs and value to achieve the overall dependability objectives), so it involves harmonizing conflicting issues. Dependability has a better viewpoint from the end user of the equipment or system than from the designer's viewpoint or the maintainer's viewpoint. From a system-effectiveness viewpoint, reliability and maintainability provide system availability and dependability.
- When:** You cannot repair yourself to happiness with a failure prone system as the failure-prone system will be viewed as lacking dependability to function as required when you need it. Thus, dependability is viewed over the longer term and not in convenient snapshots, and dependability also involves lifecycle cost issues.
- Where:** Reliability contributes directly to uptime by avoiding failures whereas maintainability contributes directly to reducing downtime by faster repairs. Thus, reliability and maintainability jointly provide impact on dependability of the system. Dependable systems must be ready to function, in an operable state, to produce the desired output, upon demand by the end user, at the specified quantity and quality of output.

[Return to top](#)

### **Design Reviews For Reliability-**

- What:** Specific questions to ask of design engineers during a review specifically for reliability using failure data from operations and maintenance are: 1) Show the calculated availability for the system based on a [RAM](#) model, 2) Show the calculated number of failures during the specified mission time between turnarounds based on a reliability and maintainability (RAM) model, 3) Show details of [FEMA](#) studies, 4) Show details of [FTA](#) calculations, 5) Show the calculated mean times between downing events, 6) Show the calculated the mean time between cutbacks from full production capability and losses thus incurred, 7) Show the [QFD](#) matrix and details, and 8) Show the calculated [cost of unreliability](#).
- Why:** Design reviews should demonstrate by calculation or through the use of models and reliability tools that the system is capable of achieving the design objects rather than making a giant leap of faith that all will be well and good. Problems found in the design review for reliability are corrected less expensively on paper than when corrections must be made in the field with hardware.

- When:** Design reviews for reliability should be a part of the design process starting with conceptual designs and ending when the drawings are revised for the as-built system.
- Where:** This is a logical extension of the design process to show, rather than tell, how the system will function. This is performed as a portion of the up-front design by the numbers process.

[Return to top](#)

## Effectiveness-

- What:** The potential or actual probability of a system to perform a mission for a given level of performance under specified operating conditions is defined as the product of [reliability](#)\*[availability](#)\*[maintainability](#)\*[capability](#) (dependability is often defined as reliability\*maintainability) and all values of the product are between 0 and 1. Many variants of the effectiveness equation exist, e.g., OEE, and others. See a parallel comparison with system effectiveness based productive output results of [process reliability](#) calculations.
- Why:** The effectiveness equation defines the ability of a product, operating under specified conditions, to meet operational demands when called upon. This is a practical measure of how well the system is performing—not how well we want it to perform, but it is a practical measure of how the system is doing. Since all the elements are measured between 0 to 1, the elements of the equation quickly draw the eye to where opportunities exist for making improvements.
- When:** The effectiveness equation is useful for trade-off boxes for various alternatives when plotted on an X-Y scale for effectiveness vs net present value (NPV) for showing improvement alternatives. For the elements::  
**reliability** defines the probability of a failure-free interval (or the complement unreliability which describes the probability of failure);  
**availability** defines the probability of the system being up and alive to handle the demand (or the complement, unavailability which describes the probability of the system being down);  
**maintainability** defines the probability of making repairs within the allowed repair standard;  
**capability** defines the probability of production achieving the desired production results (a measure of how well the product performs compared to the standard). Frequently it is described as the product of efficiency \* utilization where  
**efficiency** is an output/input relationship such as (output achieved)/(the standard required) and  
**utilization** is how time is used such as (direct labor)/(direct labor + labor lost)
- [In the old days, if this index decreased to as low as 80% we went berserk—today,  
you can't get this high because of wasted time when noses are not to the grindstone!!!].

**Where:** It is used to describe the performance of both new systems and old systems. Consider this example for effectiveness: If we are comparing a heavy-duty truck versus a sports car for transportation, the truck may be more effective for heavy loads whereas the sports car may be more effective for acceleration and high speeds—neither are defined by the effectiveness equation until the mission is defined. The effectiveness index is converted into output quantities by use of the [process reliability](#) technique for quantifying the productive plant and the non-productive hidden plant based on a pragmatic definition of nameplate capacity for the plant.

[Return to top](#)

### **Electronic Components-**

- What:** Electronic components are everywhere, and they are getting smaller and more complex by the year! They are becoming a larger part of modern society every day. As a class, they are particularly susceptible to increased failures from temperature, vibration, and shock loading which destroys reliability.
- Why:** Most electronic devices are small and delicate. Inherent failure rates are often built into the device by the manufacturing process (similar to building in human genetic defects), and you cannot find the inherent defects until the components are stressed. The best remedy for electronic devices to achieve high reliability is to start with a high quality, durable devices built on a failure-free process, load the devices only to moderate loads, and to carefully control the environment to suit the needs of the electronic component.
- When:** Burn-in tests, of different degrees of severity, following assembly of the system is imposed to weed out the inherent defects by adding stresses due to temperature, vibration, and shock loadings to cause the weak units to fail. Other accelerated tests for electronic devices include [ESS](#), [HALT](#), and [HASS](#).
- Where:** The usual failure rate distribution for electronic systems is considered to be the [exponential distribution](#), although some electronic devices such as [SCRs](#) often display a decreasing failure rate described as infant mortality failure modes by [Weibull analysis](#), and some electronic devices have an increasing failure rate described as a wearout failure mode for devices such as electrolytic capacitors and [EPROMS](#). Many electronic failure rates and electronic models are available in [MIL-HDBK-217](#) and it's successor [PRISM](#).

[Return to top](#)

### **Environmental Stress Screening (ESS)-**

- What:** A series of screens are conducted under environmental stresses to disclose weak parts and workmanship defects that require corrections, and this requires and understanding of burn-in testing and ESS, both of which identify weak points and eliminate them by motivating early failures. Burn-in is usually a long process of operating under load(s) and at fixed temperature (in short, this is a special case of ESS) or it can be operated at varying loads and accelerated temperatures to achieve a shorter burn-in period, whereas ESS is a scientifically planned and conducted test, that is usually conducted under accelerated loads to produce the same test/use results in a shorter period of

time by increasing the stress on the components or assemblies. The objective of these screens is to produce a failure-free product when released into operations. ESS is not intended as a test to validate compliance to a design, however it is intended to force latent defects into becoming defects before the end user finds them in day-to-day usage.

- Why:** The extremes of operating conditions such as high power levels, high temperatures, high vibration levels, etc. produce failures not anticipated from testing at nominal conditions. Generally, ESS is directly applicable and interpreted to be applicable to electrical/electronic equipment, however the same issues/concepts apply to mechanical equipment when the stressing conditions are loads/pressures/temperatures/vibrations/thermal shocks/etc. So as for all reliability issues—think broadly!
- When:** When acquiring data, the tests are done upfront of production. When controlling early failures that would be discovered by the end user, these test are done as a portion of the production process to eliminate weak units to control warranty costs and improve customer satisfactions
- Where:** Some tests are conducted in the laboratory for quick results and then the data is used to control product testing/release for the purpose of limiting costs and preventing the loss of customers from unsatisfactory performance in the field.

[Return to top](#)

### Events/Incidents-

- What:** Events/incidents are single events or occurrences, especially one that is particularly significant, that result in a failure from a non-aging mechanism for reliability purposes. Usually the event/incident results in a serious consequence of the loss of functional life of a component or system. The death of the device must be recorded as censored (suspended) data.
- Why:** For reliability purposes, failure of the component, device, subassembly, or system has been a success up to the point in life where a failure from a non-aging event took place. This means the event-age was a success (up to the point it was killed by an event/incident) and inclusion of the data is required as censored/suspended data—this is important data.
- When:** Include the suspended/censored data into every analysis. Young suspensions/censored data have little impact on the results of an analysis but old suspensions have major effect on the analysis.
- Where:** The data is used for MTBF/MTTF analysis and particularly for Weibull analysis.

[Return to top](#)

### Exponential Distribution-

- What:** The probability of survival and of failure of components or equipment is under the condition of chance failure, which means a constant instantaneous failure rate where the die-off rate is the same for any surviving (unfailed) population. An old part is as good as a new part. For any survivors in this memory-less system that have survived to time  $t$ , a certain percent of the survivors will die in a specified interval of time such as  $2*t$ . The reliability of

the system is often described by the exponential distribution because many times a system is made up of mixed failure modes that in the aggregate will function like a constant failure rate system. The reliability of exponential distributions are described mathematically as  $R(t) = e^{-\lambda t} = e^{-t/\Theta}$  where  $t$  is the mission time,  $\lambda$  is the failure rate, and  $\Theta$  is the mean time, given that  $\lambda=1/\Theta$ . The exponential distribution is frequently used as a first approximation to describe reliability based on a simple failure rate or a simple mean time to failure—particularly if the system or component has multiple failure modes.

- Why:** The constant hazard rate,  $\lambda$ , is usually a result of combining many failure rates into a single number.
- When:** The exponential distribution is frequently used for reliability calculations as a first cut based on its simplicity to generate the first estimate of reliability when more details about failure modes are not described.
- Where:** In electronic systems (which can have many different types of failure modes, especially since any electrical/electronic system is an amalgam of many different components) the simple assumption is that the electrical/electronic package will have a constant failure rate system defined by the exponential distribution. When in doubt about the failure mechanisms, it is common to assume use of the exponential distribution with its constant failure rate for simplicity.

[Return to top](#)

## Failure-

- What:** Failure is the loss of function when you needed the function to occur. Failures for reliability purposes must be precisely defined so they are recorded correctly. Much life data is incomplete because failures are mixed up with censored/suspended data where aged items may not have failed or they represent removals from service before failure, or they have not yet failed for the mode of failure under study—in short, these censored/suspended items represent successes and are a portion of data set for study.
- Why:** We study failed items for the same reason we do autopsies on humans—we want the data and we want it categorized correctly for making important decisions. Failures require: 1) a time origin that must be unambiguously defined, 2) a scale for measuring the passage of time/starts/stops/etc. which motivates failure, and 3) the meaning of failure must be entirely clear for recording the event.
- When:** Failure data must be recorded as it occurs to prevent loss of information. Failure causes involve design issues, manufacturing issues, assembly issues, installation issues, or use issues that consume life and motivate failures by misuse, inherent weakness, or consumption of life by means of a wearout failure issue. Failure modes describe the effects under which a failure is observed including early failures where failure rates decline with usage (infant mortality), where failure rates are constant with usage (chance failures describe the usual mid life constant failure rate mortality), and increasing failure rates with usage

(wearout failure rates).

Failure mechanisms describe the physical, chemical, metallurgical, or other processes which motivate the failures.

Failure criteria are the basis for registering the gravity of a failure and sometimes temporary changes in the failure state, including duration of the failure, have an important bearing on how a failure is recorded with the two largest classifications of failure as complete failure (can't complete the intended function) or partial failure (not a complete failure but deficient in providing all features of the intended function to a level that is noticeable and undesirable).

Failure onset can be gradual (monitoring is intended to anticipate detection of pending failure), intermittent (failure occurs in some magnitude but recovers to complete the intended function), and sudden failure (surprise events that cannot be anticipated with prior examination or monitoring).

Failure consequences can also be categorized such as critical failures (significant damage occurs and/or injury to people occurs), major failures (less severe than a critical failure but of such a magnitude as to substantially reduce the required function), minor failures (reduces the performance of the asset but only caused minor consequences for the entire system), and benign failures (failures known and observed by an expert but not detected by a novice).

**Where:** The CMMS system is frequently where most data resides but usually in crude fashion. The failure data is often transferred into the [FRACAS](#) system for converting the symptoms of the failure into the root causes of failure. The failure data must be converted into action items for making management decisions about future failures and the corrective action needed.

[Return to top](#)

## **Failure Forecast-**

**What:** Failure forecasting is a projection of failures into the future based on assumed or documented failure details. It is also known as risk analysis of future failures. For a constant failure mode system this is very straightforward. However, for complicated failure modes where the failure rate increases with time (wearout failure modes) or where failure rates decrease with time (infant-mortality failure modes), this becomes a more complicated analysis as described by the Abernethy Risk which is described in [The New Weibull Handbook](#) and implemented in the software package [SuperSMITH Weibull](#) for predicting future failures. Likewise, reliability block diagrams are useful for predicting future failures when the authentic failure details are supplied to the Monte Carlo models.

Please note manufacturers follow two general strategies for their equipment:

- 1) build the equipment to avoid failures even though this increases the original capital costs, or
- 2) build equipment and sell the original equipment at a low cost (or even a break-even cost),  
expecting to make profits with the sale of replacement parts.

Thus for end users of the procured equipment, it is important to know the forecasted failures in the face of supplier protests that “our equipment never fails”—in that case, ask to see the sale of spare parts for similar equipment and an estimate of the number of units working to get a crude estimate of the strategy employed by the equipment supplier.

A failure is an event that renders equipment as non-useful for the intended or specified purpose during a designated time interval. The failure can be sudden, partial, or one-shot, intermittent, gradual, complete, or catastrophic. The degree of failure can be degradation or gradual, sudden, or one-shot, from weakness, from imperfections, from misuse, and so forth.

A failure mechanism includes a variety of physical processes that results in failure from chemical, electrical, thermal, or other insults.

- Why:** Future failures cost money and frequently increase the risk for safety or environmental problems. For manufacturers, the forecasted failures predict impending high costs for warranty expenses which can make/break a company. With good failure forecasts, you can anticipate expected failures now (after x-usage), future failures when failed units are not replaced, and future failures when failed units are replaced either with the same failure modes or with differently designed components with different failure details.
- When:** This analysis is wisely performed during the design of the equipment, however many surprises arise from different failure modes built into the assembled product or incurred by unanticipated usage in operations.
- Where:** Generally this analysis is made during the up-front design effort—with much disbelief the products could be “this bad”. Follow-up analysis occurs when unexpected failure modes arise during operation of the equipment, which causes loss of service of the equipment and high costs for the end users.

[Return to top](#)

## Failure Rates-

- What:** Failure rates, in the simplest form, are  $\Sigma(\text{time in use})/\Sigma(\text{number of failures})$  or the reciprocal of mean times to/between failure. For more sophisticated failure data bases such as Weibull databases the failure rates can be disclosed without giving away proprietary data such as the shape factor, beta, which tell the failure mode for the equipment.
- Why:** Simple failure rates are a precursor of maintenance events and production interruptions that will occur into the future, which drive up costs and cause chaos.
- When:** Failure rates derive from the history of operation or from well-known data sources such as OREADA, IEEE 500, IEEE 493, EPRI, and other sources listed in [reading lists](#) for reliability including [Weibull databases](#).
- Where:** The failure rates are used as an awareness criteria for the average person just as you used automobile fuel consumption rates for understanding the health of your automobile as well as anticipating your weekly/monthly/annual out-of-pocket expenditures for gasoline or diesel fuel. The failure rates drive the maintenance interventions, spare parts, and maintenance cost for the maintenance department. Similarly they predict the interruptions to the

process and lead to misses on promised deliveries and result in negative variances for production costs. In sort, failure rates are precursors for the misery expected for the organization.

[Return to top](#)

### **Fault Tree Analysis-**

- What:** Fault tree analysis (FTA) is a top-down process of defining the top-level problems and, through a deductive approach using parallel and series combinations of possible malfunctions, to find the root of the problem and correct it before the failure occurs. The reliability tool can be used as qualitative or quantitative methods.
- Why:** The tool aids the design process, shows weak links that cause failures, and in the critical legs of the trees, helps to define maintenance strategies for which pieces of equipment and processes should be defended with the greatest maintenance vigor to prevent “Murphy” from shutting down the process or causing serious safety issues. The technique provides a graphical aid for the analysis and it allows many failure modes including common-cause failures. Results from a FTA is usually more pessimistic than other analysis tools such as [RBDs](#) as you can see from a study of the Space Shuttle reliability analysis where each system is studied by multiple reliability tools because of the high cost/profile of failures.
- When:** FTA is widely used in the design phase of nuclear power plants, subsea control and distribution systems, and for oversight studies in layers of protection studies for process safety and loss control in chemical plants and refineries so as to prevent accidents and control the costs of risks. The technique is helpful for identifying critical fault paths, observing vague failure combinations before they occur in reality, comparing alternate designs for safety, and setting a methodology to provide management with a tool to evaluate the overall hazards in a system and avoid single sources of critical failures. Finally when thinking top-down about failures and where/how they can occur, the methodology gives a diagram for setting maintenance strategies for protecting key pieces of equipment/processes to prevent failures.
- Where:** FTA is helpful for defining potential event sequences and potential incidents, evaluating the incident consequences of outcomes, and estimating the risks of events occurring. FTAs work in the design room and on the operating floor where firsthand knowledge has been gained for preventing failures.

[Return to top](#)

### **FMEA-**

- What:** Failure mode and effect analysis (FMEA) is the study of potential failures that might occur in any part of a system to determine the probable effect of each failure on all other parts of the system and on probable operations success. When criticality analysis is added for sophisticated studies the method is know as FMEAC. In the automotive world where FMEA is a required portion of the quality systems, it is frequently known as PFMEA for potential failure mode and effect analysis. The basic thrust of the analysis tool is to prevent

failures using a simple and cost-effective analysis that draws on the collective information of the team to find problems and resolve them before they occur.

- Why:** The analysis is known as a bottom-up (inductive) approach to finding each potential mode of failure and preventing failures that might occur for every component of a system. It also used for determining the probable effects on system operation of each failure mode and, in turn, on probable operational success, the results of which are ranked in order of seriousness. FMEA can be performed from different viewpoints such as safety, mission success, availability, repair costs, failure modes, reliability reputation, production processes, follow-on service, and so forth.
- When:** The FMEA is most productive when performed during the design process to eliminate potential failures. It can also be performed on existing systems where operations personnel and maintainers are made team members to add real-life experiences to educate the team in a problem-solving forum that is constructive to eliminating existing problems.
- Where:** The analysis can be conducted in the design room or on the shop floor and it is an excellent tool for sharing experiences to make the team aware of details that are known to one person but seldom shared with the team. It is also an extremely productive tools for educating young engineers, young maintainers, and young operators into details they should be aware can kill the system.

[Return to top](#)

### **FRACAS Systems-**

- What:** Failure reporting corrective action systems (FRACAS) is an organized database for aiding in solving reliability problems using a common-sense approach by systematically and permanently removing failure mechanism. Good historical data from this system can populate a [Weibull database](#).
- Why:** Use data to solve problem by attacking root causes to reduce failures and make reliability grow. Fixing failures requires data—not opinions—so use the data acquisition system in a closed loop to record, analyze, correct, and verify improvements have been achieved. First data reported is usually a symptom of a failure and with a failure investigation, the symptom can be converted into a root cause which requires the system to be editable to correctly report failures.
- When:** The maintenance repair order system usually generates evidence of a failure. Failures with significant costs (repair costs + collateral damage + lost margin from the failure + other appropriate business costs) must be investigated and evaluated to reduce failures and to reduce failure costs. Little is to be gained by spending big money to investigate trivial failures.
- Where:** This is an engineering tool requiring clerical effort to input the data and build the Pareto distributions for identifying significant events requiring corrective action and thus it also becomes a management tool for controlling costs.

[Return to top](#)

### **HALT-**

- What:** Highly accelerated life test (HALT) is an offspring of older environmental stress screening ([ESS](#)) tests and is a testing process for ruggedization of pre-production products by heavily stressing the product to identify failure modes quickly and to verify weak links in the system.
- Why:** HALT tests are intended to quickly find failures and accelerate the improvement program so that when products are delivered to end users, they will be mature products by elimination of potential failure modes that would normally generate a reliability growth program. Usually the HALT programs reduce time, cost, and delays experienced in new products by recalls, warranty costs, etc. HALT is similar to [HASS](#) but the stresses are more severe. In the HALT process, design and process flaws are found, root causes identified, and corrective actions implemented quickly.
- When:** HALT is used during the development program to get engineers to acknowledge and correct fatal problems in designs by adding loads (generally temperature, vibrations, pressures, physical stresses, etc.) by rapidly changing the load conditions over and above normal operating loads.
- Where:** HALT is frequently used for electronic systems but also applicable to mechanical systems where thermal shocks are used to validate designs for extreme conditions of loads. The tests are performed in the laboratory for engineering evaluation.

[Return to top](#)

## **HASS-**

- What:** Highly accelerated stress screen (HASS) uses the same stresses as [HALT](#), but at a lower stress level. Compared to HALT testing, temperature and voltage extremes may be reduced by 10%-15%, vibration levels reduced 50%, etc., depending upon the design although all the stresses may be above rated product specifications with the motivation to produce test results quickly for verifying product compliance.
- Why:** HASS testing is used to verify product performance is on target and has not shifted toward inferior performance in the manufacturing process. Note that higher stresses often produce accelerated failures out of proportion to the increased stress applied.
- When:** Products are periodically screened by HASS to verify no shifts have occurred in the manufacturing process.
- Where:** HASS tests are performed as a quality assurance test in manufacturing facilities to learn what you don't know about each product as it is faster than a simple burn-in test. If 100% of the finished goods do not receive HASS, as when only a percentage of the product is screened by HASS, this is called a highly accelerated stress audit (HASA).

[Return to top](#)

## **Life Cycle Cost-**

- What:** Life cycle costs (LCC) are all costs associated with the acquisition and ownership of a system over its full life. The usual figure of merit is net present value (NPV). Projects are considered most favorable for large

positive NPVs. However for many cost individual cases, decisions are made for the least negative NPVs. In all cases, the default position for accounting is to know the NPV for making no change and this is usually the last alternative for most people associated with change.

- Why:** The first cost for capital equipment (acquisition) is between  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{20}$  of the total lifetime cost! The first cost, acquisition cost, is usually definable by a firm quotation and sustaining costs must be estimated and put into the appropriate time slots for discounting to obtain the NPV for the project life. Typical values used in industry for LCC are: discount rate = 12%, tax rate = 38%, and project life is usually between 10 and 20 years.
- When:** Life cycle cost is usually calculated as an up-front decision-making effort either for projects or for cost-reduction efforts. It does not work well for doing the analysis after the project is underway.
- Where:** LCC is the business of investing money to make changes occur. The NPV values add the voice of investments to technical decisions to work for the lowest long-term cost of ownership.

[Return to top](#)

### Life Units-

- What:** A measure of use duration applicable to an item. For example, the life units may be starts-stops, run hours, hot-cold cycles, distances traveled, emergency starts or stops, shelf life, and other measurements that motivate failures.
- Why:** Life is consumed by usage of life units. Some life units occur as a sum of the different cases, for example on a gas-turbine aircraft engine, take-offs consume more life than landings or enroute conditions which requires a synthetic value for how life is consumed on a mission. For a land-based, heavy-duty gas turbine used in the generation of electrical power the number of starts is not equivalent to hours of operation as other wear mechanisms are involved; however, 1 trip cycle = 8 normal shutdown cycles and thus decreases the time between required maintenance actions.
- When:** Development of a life-consuming profile may be more important than the literal measurement of an elapsed time to adequately measure consumption of life that in the end will result in a failure.
- Where:** Life units have different measures and must be considered to obtain the proper “common denominator” for calculations.

[Return to top](#)

### Load-Strength Interactions-

- What:** For reliability successes, loads must always be less than strengths. When loads are greater than strengths, failures occur. The issue is determining the probability of load-strength interference, which is a joint probability of when loads exceed strengths. The loads should include expected conditions plus the foolishness of people to violate rules and overload equipment, plus the vagaries of Mother Nature to impose unexpected static and dynamic loads from hurricanes, tornadoes, earthquakes, wildfires, and so forth.

- Why:** Neither loads nor strengths are unmovable point estimates, although most designers use point values. Failures occur and reliability terminates when loads exceed strengths.
- When:** Loads usually increase over time (e.g., airplanes like people, gain weight over time from accumulation of dirt and extra equipment), strength usually decrease over time (small fatigue cracks appear with many cycles and load-bearing strengths decline).
- Where:** Bridges have finite lives because of load-strength interactions, wings break off of airplanes from fatigue, etc. A few failures are dramatic but most failures sneak up from the unknown in a variety of ways to cause loss of reliability. To prevent loss of the system requires many physical inspections to learn what you don't know!

[Return to top](#)

### Lognormal-

- What:** Lognormal distributions are continuous life functions that have long tails to the right (display positive skewness) in time or usage. A lognormal distribution plotted on semi-log papers would appear as a normal curve.
- Why:** The lognormal distribution is a common competitor to the [Weibull](#) distribution for life. However it is adequate for 85%-95% of all repair times.
- When:** Lognormal distributions are motivated by multiplicative (or proportional) events that grow with time, like crack growth, molecular diffusion, and some wearout problems.
- Where:** In the days when plots had to be made by hand, it was the first widely used transform to convert plotted data into straight lines. Today it is simply one of an arsenal of probability tools used to obtain good curve fits to data with multiplicative type events.

[Return to top](#)

### Maintainability-

- What:** The measure of the ability of an item to be retained in or restored to a specified condition when maintenance is performed by personnel having specified skill levels, using prescribed procedures and resources.
- Why:** Maintainability measures the percent of maintenance jobs completed to a standard time for the repair, with repair times for the task usually plotted on a lognormal probability plot.
- When:** First you set a standard repair time for the task, second you set a skills level, third you measure how you're doing against the standard.
- Where:** Applies to major tasks where many repetitions are expected and where considerable time is required.

[Return to top](#)

### Maintenance-

- What:** All actions necessary, both technical and administrative, for retaining an item in or restoring it to a specified condition so it can perform a required function.

The actions include servicing, repair, modification, overhaul, inspection, reclamation, and restored condition determination.

**Why:** Equipment deteriorates because of entropy changes, because of errors both overt and covert, and because of the use of incorrect procedures.

**When:** Maintenance is generally routine and recurring.

**Where:** The effort includes fault location, diagnosis, repair, test, adjustment, replacement, administration, and overhauls wherever equipment is located.

[Return to top](#)

## **Maintenance Engineering-**

**What:** A tactical job for rapidly repairing equipment to operable conditions by studying operating and repair manuals. Acquires failure data and prepares maintenance plans of restoring equipment to operable condition in a minimum amount of time. Prepares general diagrams, charts, drawings, and spare parts requirements for maintenance planners. Makes recommendations for improving the repair cycle. Provides manning level forecast for supervisors and estimates the duration of outages. Determines the cost advantages of alternatives for developing action plans to comply with internal/external customer demands for timely repairs of processes/equipment. The purpose of these activities is to restore equipment to service in a timely manner.

**Why:** Facilitates speedy repairs by providing maintenance technology above the craftsman level and up to, but not including, reliability engineering principles.

**When:** Provides expertise for more complicated maintenance tasks or when organization and oversight is required and time is of the essence for fast repairs.

**Where:** Provides on-site expertise to aid craftsmen to solve non-standard repairs without hands-on tool contact. Maintenance engineers serve as liaisons with reliability engineers.

[Return to top](#)

## **Management's Role For Reliability-**

**What:** Management must display leadership for setting a course for [reliability](#) under their watch. Too little reliability results in many breakdowns, high maintenance costs, missed production schedules, and unhappy customers. Too much reliability results in high equipment cost, complicated and expensive redundancies, excessive procedures, and excessive operating costs along with happy customers for product delivery but unhappy customers because of high cost products. You've got to get it right for your particular situation. No 4<sup>th</sup> quartile producer has demonstrated high reliability production systems. Many 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> quartile producers have demonstrated high reliability production systems.

**Why:** Management gets what management wants. Management must say what they want and want what they say. Management must be consistent. Their talk must match their walk to achieve failure free processes which take into account the [cost of unreliability](#) throughout the entire system. Management usually expresses their overriding desires and philosophy with [policy](#)

[statements](#) as a method of widely communicating intent to the workforce and making the direction a part of the organization culture. Management cannot espouse a reliability culture but only talk about fixing things faster or grumbling only about maintenance costs—they must work to correct the root of the failures and develop a culture of failure prevention.

**When:** Management can adopt the reliability culture role at any time. The program has to be sold to the organization—telling won't implement an initiative for reliability. As a working example, follow the methodology used for implementing strategies and policies for safety, quality, and environment as role models.

**Where:** Management's role for reliability starts at the top as a strategy issue. It cannot begin at the bottom of the organization.

[Return to top](#)

### Mean Time-

**What:** A density figure-of-merit metric often referred to as the average or expected value. In the simplest form it appears as arithmetic  $\Sigma(\text{time}) / \Sigma(\text{events})$  or in complicated situations as a statistic metric. It applies to mean life (**ML**), mean down time (**MDT**), mean maintenance time (**MMT**), mean time between failures (**MTBF** for repairable items), mean time to failures (**MTTF** for replacement items), mean time between maintenance (**MTBM**), mean time between maintenance scheduled (**MTBMs**), mean maintenance time unscheduled (**MMTu**), mean maintenance time scheduled (**MMTs**), mean time between overhauls (**MTBO**), mean time between unscheduled removals (**MTBRu**), mean time to restore (**MTR**), mean time between downing events (**MTBDE**), and so forth. The units will be time/metric, e.g., hours/failure. The reciprocal of the metric provides an incident rate, e.g., failures/hour.

**Why:** The metric provides an awareness factor for deciding central tendency numbers and for the expected number of events that will occur into the future based on historical situations. The arithmetic simplicity of mean time is a reason to establish the metric and listen to the information derived from it to gain insight. The arithmetic provides immediate answers to categorize facts for starting continuous improvement rather than postponing a metric while searching for delayed perfection!

**When:** The metrics are used as criteria of performance and variations from the central tendency numbers are expected however for the long term the variations are expected to be controlled to prevent distortion of the measurement.

**Where:** The metrics are used from the shop floor to the management levels as criteria for "How are we doing?".

[Return to top](#)

### Mechanical Components Interaction-

**What:** Mechanical components suffer from interactions and degradations of overloads, strength deterioration, wear, corrosion, process variations during the fabrication process, effects of special processes where the procedures must

be controlled as discovery of the end results would result in destruction of the component, and removal of safety factors by increasing loads.

- Why:** The naïve expectation is that, individually, the impact of a single insult will not destroy reliability of the component. However, you frequently have multiple insults occurring, which results in failures that are not predicted up front but can be perfectly explained after the components have failed.
- When:** The multiple destructive events are more predominate in complex devices and highly stressed devices which too often have small safety factors that cannot cope with the overload conditions and thus failures occur.
- Where:** The foolishness of humans adds further insults to the interactions of many different failure mechanisms which demands many more maintenance interventions and frequent inspections. Of course the solution to many of these cases where failures occur is to increase safety factors by adding extra material (when possible), but this adds extra weight and extra costs.

[Return to top](#)

### Monte Carlo Simulation-

- What:** Monte Carlo simulation (modeling) is a method to solve engineering problems by sampling methods. The method applies to such things as system reliability and availability modeling by simulating random processes such as life-to-failure and repair times.
- Why:** The technique is used when: 1) many variables are present and their interrelationships are unclear, 2) the system can't be analyzed by direct and formal methods; 3) building analytical models would be time consuming, complex, and just too hard, 4) you cannot do direct experiments, 5) when the input details such as equipment life and repair times are not discrete and they vary over time according to a distribution, and 6) you need to do some tweaking of the system to understand where opportunities lie for improving uptime, reliability, and costs.
- When:** Build models before you commit systems to bricks and mortar so you know their performance on paper. Revise the models after they are in operation to help improve the unknown weaknesses and improve costs for future cases.
- Where:** Monte Carlo models are used for gaining insight about how things work and data collected from the model is done at an accelerated rate compared to real life.

[Return to top](#)

### Normal Distribution-

- What:** A fundamental frequency distribution that produces a symmetrical bell-shaped diagram based on the Gaussian distribution to form a normal law of errors.
- Why:** The distribution is easily described with two statistics, the mean ( $\bar{X}$ , which is a location parameter) and the standard distribution (sigma, which is a shape parameter carrying units of the location parameter) as these are parameters of the population.
- When:** The distribution is widely used for quality issues where errors are frequently symmetrically distributed and for a few cases of reliability problems where

life data is also symmetrically distributed. For symmetrical life data, the normal data makes a good [Weibull](#) plot, whereas Weibull data usually makes a poor normal plot—thus, Weibull plots have almost displaced normal plots for reliability data.

**Where:** The distribution is used where the statistics simplify descriptions of the distribution, so it is easy to describe and explain.

[Return to top](#)

## OEE-

**What:** Overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) is a manufacturing index to reduce complexity of discrete systems for problem solving and benchmarking. In many ways, it is a subset of [effectiveness](#).

OEE=availability\*performance\*quality where availability = (operating time)/(planned production time), performance = (ideal cycle time)/(operating time/total pieces), and quality = (good pieces)/(total pieces); and OEE is best suited to discrete manufacturing. The index is larger than for effectiveness and allows for acceptance of down time without have a hard measure for utilization losses in the capability (although it does have a performance index which takes elements from both efficiency and utilization) and it accepts planned downtime as OK in the availability index. The effectiveness index looks at the system from the perspective of the investor, whereas OEE looks at the system from the perspective of the operations management which excuses many losses such as planned outages, etc., and has the propensity for the indices to be “Enronized” so they look good, when in fact from the investors viewpoint, the results are not good which is a violation of the principle of *Esse Quam Videri* (To be, rather than to seem).

**Why:** It’s a simple and easy-to-use index for the big-picture summary of performance in industry and it can be benchmarked against similar industries.

**When:** Use for a quick assessment and approximation of the effectiveness equation.

**Where:** Widely used for a first cut at improving manufacturing operations in lieu of the more stringent and complete effectiveness equation.

[Return to top](#)

## Pareto Distribution-

**What:** Vilfredo Pareto was an Italian economist in the late 1800s who described the unequal distribution of wealth in the world. The concept was improved and brought to the factory floor by Joseph M. Juran (December 24, 1904-February 28, 2008) for manufacturing operations. Juran said it was a methodology for separating the vital few problems from the trivial many problems. The Pareto principle, as explained by Juran, when applied to quality issues said: It’s the 80-20 rule where 80% of the problems come from 20% of the causes and management should concentrate on the 20% (the vital few causes). The same concept works for money issues—you must separate the vital few issues from the trivial many issues.

When the Pareto distribution is listed in order of money lost (including the risk for money lost) it becomes a work priority for attacking business

problems that have the greatest impact on the enterprise. Winners in the organization work on the vital few important items (the 20%), as they put their reputations at stake, while the losers in the organization work on the trivial many problems (the 80% of the problem list), which, if solved, would have little financial impact on the enterprise.

The gear-head approach is to build the Pareto list based on numbers of failures. This is usually not too productive. Would you really prefer to solve 90% of

- 1) 1000 failures that costs a total of \$1000, or
- 2) 1 failure that costs \$1,000,000?

The gear-head approach says to go for the 1000 small problems. However the business approach says to go for the big \$ items in the list—in the end, it's all about the money!

The business approach is to build the Pareto list based on the total amount of money spent or at risk (maintenance costs + gross margin lost + rework costs + scrap costs + warranty costs + ... + ....., etc to include all appropriate business costs) rather than working on the trivial money and love affairs that keep people busy but do not generate financial returns for the business.

**The most important reliability tool is a Pareto distribution based on \$'s to set work priorities for attacking the vital few problems as a method of separating important issues from the trivial many issues.**

- Why:** The Pareto distribution, **based on \$'s**, sets work priorities, and assuming a one-year payback period, describes how much money can be spent to resolve the issues. Most reliability engineers need to be working on the top 5 or 6 items, **based on \$'s**, all the time as data and solutions are developed slowly and the key items always need to be on the mind for active consideration. The mentality is to think like a bank robber—go for where the big money is located and get it back—and get it back fast.
- When:** At least quarterly reviews of the Pareto distribution are important for accountability of who has solved what problems and to define what new targets have come over the horizon that require immediate attention.
- Where:** Pareto distributions are used throughout the organization to keep attention on the vital few \$ issues. They are highly favored by management when engineers employ Pareto distributions based on money. Pareto distributions help set work priorities and avoid focusing on love affairs with equipment or process, which often occurs to the detriment of the business. Pareto distributions explain why some work orders always get maintenance priority while other tasks are relegated to the category of “whenever we get time to solve the problem.”

[Return to top](#)

**Poisson Distribution-**

- What:** Poisson distributions are discrete distributions and the simplest statistic process where Poisson events are random in time, which describes a stable average rate of occurrence of counted events. The Poisson is frequently used as a first approximation to describe failures expected with time. The calculations are driven by an average value, e.g., failures/year, defects/meter<sup>2</sup>, hurricanes/year, etc. Answers from the Poisson will come as probabilities for 1 failure, 2 failures, etc., or the probability for 1 hurricane in a year or 2 hurricanes in a year, etc. The average value is obtained from a constant\*time-interval that is usually explained as  $\lambda*t$ . Frequently charts are used to obtain solutions to the Poisson equation such as the Thorndike Chart from Bell Labs or the Abernethy-Weber chart from [The New Weibull Handbook](#). The equation is often described in two formats: 1) probability =  $(np)^r e^{-np}/r!$  where n = number of trials, r = number of occurrences, and p=probability of an occurrence, or 2) probability =  $Z^C e^{-Z}/C!$  where Z=expected number (i.e., the mean) and C=probability of an event in counting numbers. Of course, for the two different formats  $np=Z$  and  $r=C$ . When n is large and p (or 1-p) is small, the Poisson is an excellent approximation to the binomial distribution.
- Why:** Simplicity is the major reason for use of the Poisson distribution.
- When:** Use the Poisson when an answer is needed quickly and the answer deals with counting terms.
- Where:** When you know the average number of events the Poisson is easy to use to find the probability of 1, 2, 3,...events occurring.

[Return to top](#)

## Probability Plots-

- What:** Probability plots make sense of the chaos of failure data on an X-Y plot. Each type of plot is divided differently on the X and Y axis based on the fundamental mathematics for a given distribution. The decision on which type of graph paper to use is based on: 1) a simple pragmatic approach (use the one that gives the best curve fit to the data), and 2) the physics of failure or the mechanism driving the data for non-failures. For reliability data, 85% to 95% of the data will adequately fit a [Weibull](#) distribution. For repair data, 85% to 95% of the data will adequately fit a [lognormal](#) distribution. Often Weibull plots or lognormal plots compete as to which distribution best fits the failure data.
- Why:** The acquired data is plotted in the units acquired on the X-axis of a probability plot and the data is plotted in rank order. The Y-axis in most cases is determined using [Benards](#) median rank approximation to provided the probability percentage. The result is often a straight line on the properly divided X-Y graph paper. Please note, over the years many [different plotting positions](#) have been tried with Benard's plot position being the strongest survivor for tailed (i.e., non-normal) data.
- When:** Use when you have failure data or repair data. They work best when age-failure plots are made by individual failure modes or individual repair modes. They also will handle high-level failure data and repair times where the data represent how the system is behaving.

**Where:** Use probability plots to get complicated data summarized onto one side of one sheet of paper. When the plots have the cumulative distribution plotted on the Y-axis, it tells what percent of the population will have a life (or repair time) less than the corresponding X-value.

[Return to top](#)

## Process Reliability-

**What:** Reliability of a production process is defined as the percentage of production where output consistency is lost as determined by a Weibull plot of daily production output.

Reliability losses are the sum of production gaps between what should have been demonstrated (the demonstrated production line) and what was actually achieved—**these are losses due to special causes**. Special cause losses occur from things you can put your finger on and can be solved by process engineers, maintenance engineers, and reliability engineers.

Nameplate lines (or entitlement line) define the possible daily output.

Nameplate lines lie to the right of the demonstrated production line on a Weibull probability plot. The gap between the nameplate line and the demonstrated line quantifies efficiency/utilization losses—**these are losses due to common causes**. Common cause losses result from subtle problems without major identifiers and generally accepted as “that’s the way things are” without fingering for elimination by six-sigma black-belts and management.

In many production facilities, this category is a major source of losses and greater than all availability/reliability/maintainability losses.

The sum of the reliability losses plus efficiency/utilization losses constitutes a hidden factory measured in output quantities.

**Production effectiveness = (annual output)/(annual output + hidden factory losses)**. These details are shown graphically on a Weibull probability plot. Contrast the production effectiveness calculation (obtained in minutes) to the effectiveness equation (obtained in hours/weeks).

**Why:** You must see the losses on a Weibull probability plot to believe they exist. Use the graphics to sell an improvement program based on diagnosis of the problem and where to attack. The technique provides both visual and qualitative results. The analysis goes onto one side of one sheet of paper. This is a simple tool used for strong results in a creative and problem solving organization. Reliability values and the slope of the demonstrated line (beta) are benchmark able. Process reliability techniques measure system performance, in production output quantities, and produce a single **production effectiveness** index in percentage terms which is similar to the [effectiveness](#) equation.

**When:** Works well on daily production data accumulated over a period of time in order to see the patterns of performance.

**Where:** Useful for any production facility including electrical power generation, chemical plants (both batch and continuous process), refineries, pharmaceuticals, semiconductors, packaging facilities, and other complicated production facilities where achieving a simple index of “how are we doing” is

difficult to achieve. For more details and articles, see hyperlinks at the bottom of the page: <http://www.barringer1.com/prtraining.htm>.

[Return to top](#)

## Quality Function Deployment-

- What:** QFD is a bad translation of a good reliability technique for getting the voice of the customer into the design process so the product delivered is the product the customer desires. In particular, it is applicable to soft issues that are difficult to specify.
- Why:** The method helps pinpoint: 1) what to do, 2) the best ways to accomplish the objective, 3) the best order for achieving the design objectives, and 4) the staffing/assets required to complete the task.
- When:** QFD is a major up-front effort (as is the case with most Japanese techniques) to learn and understand the customer's requirements and the approach that will satisfy their objectives.
- Where:** The methodology is used as a team approach to solving problems and satisfying customers, beginning with a listing of customer requirements, converting customer requirements into engineering characteristics (the house of quality), converting engineering characteristics into parts characteristics (the house of parts deployment), converting parts characteristics in process characteristics (the house of process planning), and finally, converting the process characteristics into production characteristics (the house of production planning). As with all Japanese techniques, the up-front costs are high and many clever graphical tools exist for transferring information with the intention of decreasing costs downstream while satisfying customer's needs.

[Return to top](#)

## Reliability-

- What:** Reliability is the probability that a device, system, or process will perform its prescribed duty **without failure** for a given time when operated correctly in a specified environment. This means that reliability is concerned with the probability of future failures based on what has occurred with past observations so we predict the future based on past observations.
- Why:** Reliability has two broad ranges of meanings:
- 1) **qualitatively**—operating without failure for long periods of time just as the advertisements for sale suggest, and
  - 2) **quantitatively**—where life is predictable, long, and measurable in tests to assure satisfactory field conditions are achieved to meet customer requirements.
- Reliability is concerned with failure-free operation for periods of time, whereas quality is concerned with avoiding non-conformances at a specified time prior to shipment; thus, reliability measures a dynamic situation but quality measures a static situation. As in physics, statics (not time dependent as with quality issues) is easier to understand and calculate than dynamics (time dependent as with reliability issues), which involves higher levels of math and greater mental capabilities for comprehension.

**When:** Reliability is expected for new equipment to start, run, and continue to function for long periods of time without failure. Reliability is also expected when the equipment is dormant and called to duty. Reliability is also expected upon service or restoration and resumption of long life. Reliability is designed into the system by up-front activities, and reliability is sustained by careful operation of the system along with careful nurturing of the system with sustaining maintenance activities. Reliability always terminates in a failure and the roots of failure can be due to design, fabrication, installation, operation, maintenance (repair and periodic servicing), and management of the system—in short, there are many ways and means to kill the system but few ways to keep it operating without failure.

**Where:** The adage says “the proof of the pudding is in the eating,” and, for reliability, the proof of the system is in the long failure-free interval. Reliability tools are used from stem to stern to demonstrate high reliability (the absence of failures for long periods of time) by use of many tools such as:

- reliability acceptance test** to demonstrate long life;
- reliability analysis** to compute the expected results;
- reliability and maintainability** the mathematical tasks that predict the expected results from the elements;
- reliability apportionment** to allocate life issues in a top-down manner to meet an overall reliability goal;
- reliability assessment** determines the achieved level of reliability of an existing system using data gathered during test or use;
- reliability assurance** implements planned management and technical measures to provide confidence that a reliability target is obtained and maintained;
- reliability block diagrams** to graphically and mathematically calculate reliability results prior to building a system;
- reliability-centered maintenance** is the systematic approach to identify preventive support and service according to a set of procedures to reduce and avoid failures;
- reliability confidence limits** demonstrate the limits for reliability within a given confidence limit;
- reliability control** is the coordination and direction of system [dependability](#) through design activities and management planning;
- reliability critical item** identification whereby failure significantly affects system safety/cost or operational success or maintenance/logistics support costs;
- reliability data** is the basic age-to-failure [data](#) as [life unit](#) information relating to the time-to-failure when organized by [probability distributions](#);
- reliability degradation** which incurs loss of the failure-free performance due to poor workmanship or bad parts or improper operation or abuse or inadequate maintenance;
- reliability design practices** are a series of trade-off-tools to meet or beat the design specification for reliability;
- reliability development/growth tests** are the evaluations to disclose

deficiencies and verify corrective actions to prevent reoccurrence of the failures to achieve the design specifications and sustain [reliability growth](#) toward longer times between failure;

**reliability estimates** are life values used prior to statistical experimentation with the end products to make predictions, or assessments, or stress analysis evaluations;

**reliability function** is the graphical representation of life characteristics plotted against operating time;

**reliability growth achievement** is the systematic improvements of a item/systems dependability by removing failure mechanisms through corrective actions to eliminate deficiencies and flaws often achieved by means of test-analyze and fix;

**reliability growth models** (Crow-AMSAA) measures the [reliability growth](#) by means of log-log plots of cumulative failures on the Y-axis and cumulative time on the X-axis to demonstrate with statistics that failures are coming more slowly and reliability goals have been achieved;

**reliability guarantee** is the commitment by suppliers to provide a given meant time between replacements or to maintenance and overhauls intervals for equipment;

**reliability improvement** is the identification of failure modes and effects having a critical impact on the system failure potential of the design along with the systematic removal of the failures to produce long life without failures;

**reliability index** is the ratio of the mean reliability level achieved to the acceptable level specified in the design as a figure of merit;

**reliability measurement** is failure-free endurance assessment activity for making decisions about reliability and demonstrating compliance;

**reliability mission** is the mission time for demonstrating failure-free performance;

**reliability prediction** is the process of quantitatively assessing whether a proposed or existing design meets a specified life requirement;

**reliability prediction functions** estimate the life characteristics for setting goals and evaluating the design benchmarks and needs;

**reliability prediction limitations** describes the shortcomings in life values by analytical methods;

**reliability prediction requirements** describes life assumptions, environmental data, and failure rates for the design;

**reliability prediction summary** is a report providing conclusions and recommendations based upon an reliability assessment analysis;

**reliability program** are the activities to organize and achieve a system to insure reliability goals are achieved and deficient areas shored-up;

**reliability program plan** is the formal written definition of the specific tasks to fulfill the reliability requirements;

**reliability qualification test (RQT)** is an evaluation conducted under specified conditions using items representative of the approved product configuration;

**reliability quantitative elements** are the life characteristics and factors considered in predicting and measuring reliability performance;  
**reliability requirements** are the numerical values representing a specified failure-free life or dependability performance characteristic;  
**reliability sequential tests** are evaluations of the number of failures and the time required to reach a decision based on the accumulated results of the reliability tests;  
**reliability tasks** describe the activities required to achieve a reliability program;  
**reliability tests** are the formal evaluation to determine a product's longevity for the failure-free interval or stability relative to time/usage;  
and finally,  
**reliability with repair** is the failure-free performance achieved by redundancy with permitted online repairs without interrupting equipment operation.

[Return to top](#)

### Reliability Audits-

- What:** Reliability audits verify your reliability program is effective and find areas of weakness for corrective action. They are inquiries by factual examination of elements of the system with written objective criteria for performance, beginning with an assessment of how management is involved and are they effective in building a productive reliability program.
- Why:** Most organizations know where they are strong. On an objective basis, few organizations know where they are weak. Reliability audits are a fact-finding exercises similar to financial and quality audits to ferret out weaknesses for corrective action. The questions to be answered are:
- 1) How well are you doing what you promised against your [reliability policy](#)?
  - 2) How well is upper management doing against company objectives for reliability?
  - 3) How well are reliability plans, systems, and procedures working?
  - 4) How well are plans, systems, and procedures being executed against the policy?
  - 5) How well are productive efforts for reliability working toward achieving the goals?
  - 6) How well has the reliability system been communicated to employees and are they committed to understanding and implementing the improvements?  
and
  - 7) Are financial objectives being met as a result of ongoing reliability improvements? (Which is the main objective of the audit—not just a rigid procedural/bureaucratic compliance to details).
- When:** Detailed annual audits should occur annually with a follow-up to occur six month later to insure that corrective action has been implemented. Without a six-month deadline, few tasks will be completed because of procrastination.
- Where:** Audits are needed for 1) reliability system management, 2) new techniques, technology, developments, and controls, 3) supplier control (internal and

external), 4) process operation and control, 5) reliability data programs, 6) problem-solving techniques, 7) control of reliability measurements, 8) human resources involvement, 9) customer satisfaction assessment (internal/external), and 10) software reliability (excluding Microsoft products used in the office environment).

[Return to top](#)

### Reliability Block Diagrams-

- What:** Reliability block diagram (RBD) models are graphical representations of a calculation methodology for reliability systems.
- Why:** The RBD models allow calculation of system reliability based on knowing/assuming failure details of the components, starting with the least component and growing the model to the greatest system to predict performance from the elements.
- When:** RBDs are used in upfront designs as a performance parameter and after the system is constructed to ferret out poorly performing blocks that limit the system performance.
- Where:** Frequently used as a trade-off tool to search for the lowest long cost of ownership and to help sell alternative courses of action for moderating the effects of reliability issues or overcoming the poor performance by alternative designs where the results can be calculated before building the system as the results of the calculations provide knowledge about availability, maintenance interventions required for failures, and the number of spare parts required to sustain operations. For other definitions see [MIL-HDBK-338](#), sections 4 and 6.

[Return to top](#)

### Reliability-Centered Maintenance-

- What:** Reliability-centered maintenance (RCM) is a systematic planning process used to determine the maintenance requirements for a system. RCM expects the system has an inherent reliability and maintenance requirements are imposed upon the baseline of inherent safety and inherent reliability designed into the system (the design sets the standard, it can be high, medium, or low).
- Why:** RCM does what is required to make sure the systems continue to do what the users want done. If the excellent maintenance programs demonstrate the lack of reliability expected, then the system must be improved by design changes to physical assets or the manner in which the assets are used.
- When:** RCM requires a cultural change in both management and employees to “do maintenance by the numbers”. This requires discipline in the organization to perform the [FMEAs](#) that drive the work process for maintenance and it also requires defining [functional failures](#).
- Where:** RCM works better in top-quartile manufacturers who have a disciplined work force and are interested in achieving excellence in 1) safety, 2) operability, 3) reduced maintenance downtime by a disciplined approach to the maintenance activities, 4) high uptimes, and 5) a reduction in failures. Lacking one or

more of the five efforts at excellence generally results in a failed RCM program.

[Return to top](#)

## Reliability Engineering-

- What:** A strategic job for preparing plans to reduce the failures and the cost of failures as a preventative measure to reduce the [cost of unreliability](#). Acquires failure data and analyzes the data to quantify the financial impact and prepare long-term solutions to prevent reoccurrences to improve reliability and uptime. Determines the cost advantages and proposes alternatives for solving the problem and recommends the alternative with the [lowest long-term cost of ownership](#). The purpose of these actions is to prevent failures.
- Why:** Prevents future failures by working on medium- and long-term projects using technology to solve the problems. As required, provides technical assistance to maintenance engineers to aid their efforts for quickly restoring equipment to service.
- When:** Provides expertise for avoiding failures by means of a technical solution to reduce the high-cost reliability problems on the [Pareto distribution](#).
- Where:** Provides technical support and solutions for management on longer range problems, and as required, supplies technical assistance to maintenance engineers for immediate and difficult restoration projects as a liaison effort. Supports task improvements to accomplish longer term objectives (think months and quarters), which will result in smoother operations, at lower costs, without failures.

[Return to top](#)

## Reliability Growth Models-

- What:** Reliability growth models are important management concepts for making reliability visual with simple displays. The simple log-log plots of cumulative failures on the Y-axis against cumulative time on the X-axis often make straight lines where the slope of the trend line is highly significant for telling if failures are coming faster ( $\beta > 1$ ), which is undesirable, slower ( $\beta < 1$ ), which is desirable, or without improvement/deterioration ( $\beta = 1$ ), which usually drifts toward undesirable results. The reliability growth models are frequently called Crow-AMSSA plots in honor of Larry Crow's proof of why the charts work as described in [MIL-HDBK-189](#) when he worked with [AMSAA](#).
- Why:** Both engineers and management must see reliability problems to fix them. The simple log-log plots make the models visible. The task of the reliability engineer is to put favorable cusps on the Crow-AMSAA trend lines to make failures come more slowly and thus decrease the long-term cost of ownership. If you're doing your improvement job correctly, you'll never have many failures until you have a cusp.
- When:** The plots are useful for development tasks (where they first were used) or to long-term operations. They work for safety programs, plant improvement programs, environmental programs, or for cost problems. Use the plots as "show me, don't tell me," how the projects are proceeding and the key metric

in the form of line slope is easy to understand and easy to communicate in less than 60 seconds.

**Where:** They are used for technical development issues or for management reviews. A picture is worth a thousand words for getting management's attention for focusing on a problem. Likewise the charts are highly useful for showing the reductions in failures that have occurred from making a desirable and permanent fix.

[Return to top](#)

## **Reliability Policies-**

**What:** Management communicates with their staffs through important policy statements. Management policies are general and relate to procedures and rules which are specific for implementing policies. Written statements of policy regarding reliability are decisive documents about avoiding system failures in the same way that safety policies address the need for absence of human injuries, quality policies address the need for absence of product discrepancies, and environmental policies address the need for avoiding spills and releases. Management needs to also say, by a policy statement, a reliability policy that may read like this:

**We will build an economical and failure-free process that will operate for 5 years between planned outages.**

This statement will clearly communicate that failures to the process (which is the money machine) are to be abhorred and avoided!

**Why:** Process failures are clearly money issues because, when the process ceases to run, the company has no income, thus process failures are to be abhorred for killing the money machine.

**When:** Implementing a policy before constructions of new facilities is important to use the policy as design criteria. When implemented with older facilities, the task is more difficult and old facilities may never be able to comply with the objectives at a reasonable cost alternative.

**Where:** Responsibility for implementing the policy lies with:

- 1) the chief operating officer must authorize the policy and ensure the policy is applied throughout the operations under the administrative directive that sets the guidelines for financial and engineering measures,
- 2) the engineering/R&D executives are responsible for ensuring the policy is implemented by systems engineering, design engineering, project engineering, pilot plant engineering, and test engineering,
- 3) the manufacturing executive is responsible for ensuring that the reliability policy is carried out by the materials and procurement functions, industrial engineering functions, manufacturing engineering functions, operations functions, and maintenance functions,
- 4) the quality assurance executive is responsible for the dissemination of the reliability policy, its annual review and auditing for compliance to the spirit of the policy, and for making recommendations to the chief operating officer concerning continued relevance, applicability, and effectiveness, and
- 5) the human resources executive is responsible for ensuring that all new

employees are indoctrinated into the purpose and implementation of the reliability policy as a part of the operation's mission, goals, and priorities.

[Return to top](#)

### **Reliability Testing-**

- What:** Suppliers have two strategies for testing: 1) test for success and/or 2) test for failures. Reliability testing produces failures, particularly when the tests are accelerated with extra loads, and this may be troublesome to have in the records for future lawsuits. Thus, it is often to everyone's advantage to perform reliability test under code names to protect against the broad rules of legal discovery.
- Why:** The reliability tests will determine a product's longevity and failure-free performance. This requires data recording and data integrity. Plans must be set for how the tests are to be conducted, loads to be handled, duration of the tests, environmental conditions, operating modes, failure definitions, and documentation for recording/analyzing the test data.
- When:** Reliability test are usually run prior to release of the product for sale or after the product has been released and troublesome failures appear in field applications where no problems were expected.
- Where:** Laboratory test are conducted in many cases but in other cases the data may simply come from field use. Note the failures induced require extra components that must be expected and budgeted along with the extra costs for data acquisition/analysis.

[Return to top](#)

### **Simultaneous Testing-**

- What:** For inexpensive components and inexpensive tests, simultaneous tests involve many components under test loads/conditions at the same time for the purpose of quickly acquiring data and producing test analysis as the failures occur. In simultaneous testing, the suspensions (censored data) become important details for use in the statistical analysis. Most simultaneous tests are accelerated to generate the data in a short period of time, although this carries the risk of introducing unexpected failure modes (but this can also be useful information for anticipating field failures).
- Why:** Conducting analysis of the early test results, when only a few failures have occurred, will give precursors as to passing/failing the longer-term tests. If the early test results look encouraging, the larger test may be allowed to run to conclusion. However if early test results are disappointing, the test may be abandoned without using all of the testing budget so that remedial action can occur prior to completing the full-scale planned test.
- When:** This testing is usually conducted prior to release of products. However, a similar watch may be setup for warranty repairs so as to anticipate the cost and extra supplies required to cope with an unexpected failure that was not forecasted.

**Where:** This strategy is appropriate for inexpensive components in the test laboratory. However, for warranty problems, the issues are very appropriate for expensive components or assemblies.

[Return to top](#)

### **Software Reliability-**

**What:** Software does not wear out but it does fail and most failures are due to specification errors and code errors with only a few errors in copying or use. The only software repair is by reprogramming and adding safety factors is almost impossible. Software reliability improves by finding errors and fixing the errors but estimating the number of errors that cause failures is extremely difficult as many branches of software code may lie dormant and unused until special events occur to make the latent failures obvious. Software failures are not often time related but are more software code page dependent. Software reliability is improved by extensive testing to disclose the failures and then fixing them to repeat the test all over again to validate the fix did not generate more failures and to continue the search of other latent defects.

**Why:** More than 50% of the software bugs (failures) occur from specifications with lesser amounts of failures from system design and the coding process. This is due to the lack of visibility in the software process along with problems from those specifying the requirements with problem roots in ambiguities, inconsistencies, incomplete statements, and lack of logical requirements. This requires that both inputs and outputs for software must be specified in greater detail than for mechanical, electrical, or system data to avoid the errors and conflicts.

**When:** “Clean room” software procedures are a technique for extracting details from the customers so the programmers get the scope of the project and the input/output correct as an up-front effort to reduce errors and wasted code. Acquiring the data is tedious, and roughly 80% of the software budget is spent get the details “right” before programming commences.

**Where:** Disciplined software specialists carefully work the plan up-front to reduce errors and testing time. Undisciplined, so called “neo-experts” want to see busyness in code writing up-front and thus their software reliability is worse from not having a firm foundation from which to work.

[Return to top](#)

### **Sudden Death Testing-**

**What:** For expensive components and expensive tests, sudden death tests involve a few components that tie-up a test frame as they are heavily loaded under the same test loads/conditions with several items being run at the same time. When one of the items fails, the entire test frame is shut down so that you have 1 failure (this is the sudden death!) and several suspensions because the unfailed units are survivors as the test is halted until the test frame is loaded with new samples for resumption of the life test. Opening the test frame (instead of tying up the frame until all samples have failed) is cost effective. If three units can be tested simultaneously and the test is halted on the first failure, then perhaps we will literally have only 4 failures and 8 suspensions

for preparing the [Weibull analysis](#). Will the 4 sample + 8 suspension data set be different than if all 12 samples had been run to failure?—the answer is yes, they will be different, **but** will they be significantly different?—the answer is no to the significant difference. So, as with [simultaneous testing](#) the suspensions (censored data) become important details for use in the statistical analysis. Most sudden death tests are accelerated to generate the data in a short period of time although this carries the risk of introducing unexpected failure modes (but this can also be useful information for anticipating field failures).

**Why:** Sudden death testing is all about the economics and shorter elapsed time for results.

**When:** Sudden death testing is used for product acceptance tests.

**Where:** It is a quick test for many products and the ongoing test for production lots.

[Return to top](#)

### **Total Productive Maintenance-**

**What:** Total productive maintenance (TPM) is a corporate-wide effort involving all employees to fully use equipment to the maximum limit employing an equipment-oriented management concept to reduce failures and increase utilization of equipment and processes in a productive manner. TPM programs are teamwork programs and require a corporate culture of teamwork devoid of us vs. them issues. All employees are expected to accept ownership of the equipment and processes to do many small things all the time to ensure high levels of availability by eliminating failures in the early stages with low-cost actions. The employees approach the process equipment as owners rather than renters.

**Why:** Maximizing equipment uptime with lower costs by all employees working to reduce the many small incidents that lead to a failure

**When:** Major maintenance tasks are handled by the craftsmen. Most small tasks are handled by operators in a never-ending effort of cleaning, lubricating, and tightening to find problems early when they can be solved simply instead of letting the problem grow to a major issue.

**Where:** TPM is a system-wide effort of providing care to the equipment rather than saying “it’s not my job,” and “We’ve got to fill out the paperwork before ‘they’ can do anything.” The technique makes good use of the 5 human senses but technical details must be taught to the work force to understand good from bad and when action must be taken along with what must be done—this requires a sharing environment where the work team works for the common good of higher performance. If the culture is me, me, me, TPM will not work.

[Return to top](#)

### **Weibayes Estimates-**

**What:** If you’ve got one piece of failure data and nothing else, you’re a poor person without much hope. If you’ve got one piece of failure data and a [Weibull database](#), you’re a rich person with a map on the back of an envelope and a

compass by your side to get you out of the abysmal swamp of ignorance and misunderstanding.

**Why:** The Weibayes technique uses your [failure data](#) and past experience to make [Weibull analysis](#) forecast about what you should expect into the future and in many cases, given a hypothesis of worst-case/best-case a failure [forecast](#) can be generated.

**When:** Use the technique when you lack specific details but you know something from your past experience—often the past experience reduces errors of Weibull analysis. Use Weibayes analysis to make sense out of emotional nonsense.

**Where:** Use the technique to say something and point noses in the right direction rather than playing the role of Chicken Little with the sky falling. Some data is better than no data in most cases, and when you can keep your wits and everyone else is in panic mode, it quiets the problem to allow reason to prevail.

[Return to top](#)

## Weibull Analysis-

**What:** Weibull analysis is the tool of choice for most reliability engineers when they consider what to do with age-to-failure data. It uses the two-parameter Weibull distribution which says mathematically that reliability,  $R(t) = e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\eta}\right)^\beta}$  where  $t$  is time,  $\eta$  is a scale factor known as the characteristic life (most of the Weibull distributions have tailed data and lack an easy way to describe central tendency as the mode≠median≠mean; however, regardless of the  $\beta$ -values, which is a shape factor, all of the cumulative distribution function values pass through the  $\eta$  value at 63.2% which thus entitles it to be known as the single-point characteristic life).

Be careful in use of the three-parameter Weibull equation! It is frequently misused simply to get a good curve fit! The three-parameter Weibull requires compliance with these four requirements:

- 1) you must see curvature of data on a two-parameter plot (concave downward curves imply a failure free interval on the age-to-failure axis whereas concave upward curves imply a percentage of the population are prefailed),
- 2) you must have a physical reason for why a three-parameter distribution exists (producing a better curve fit is not a valid reason!),
- 3) you must have at 21 failure data points (if curvature is slight you may need 100+ data points), and
- 4) the goodness of curve fit must be significantly better after use of the three-parameter distribution.

**Why:** The Weibull distribution is so frequently used for reliability analysis because one set of math (based on the weakest link in the chain will cause failure) described infant mortality, chance failures, and wear-out failures. Also the Weibull distribution has a closed form solution:

- 1) for the probability distribution function (PDF),
- 2) for the cumulative distribution function (CDF),

3) for the reliability function (1-CDF), and

4) the instantaneous failure rate which is also known as the hazard function.

For engineers, discrete solutions are preferred rather than use of tables because of simplicity. In a similar manner, engineers strongly need graphics of the Weibull distribution whereas statisticians do not find the graphics nearly as useful for comprehension.

**When:** Use Weibull analysis when you have age-to-failure data.

- When you have age-to-failure data **by component**, the analysis is very helpful because the  $\beta$ -values will tell you the modes of failure which no other distribution will do [ $\beta < 1$  implies infant mortality with decreasing failure rates,  $\beta \approx 1$  implies chance failures with a constant failure rate, and  $\beta > 1$  implies wear-out failure modes with increasing failure rates—when you know the failure mode you know which “medicine” to apply]!
- When you have age-to-failure **for the system**, the  $\beta$ -values have NO physical significance and the  $\beta$ -,  $\eta$ -values only explain how the system is functioning—this means you lose significant physical information for problem solving.

**Where:** When in doubt, use the Weibull distribution to analyze age-to-failure data. It works with test data. It works with field data. It works with warranty data. It works with accelerated testing data. The Weibull distribution is valid for ~85% to 95% of all life data, so play the odds and start with Weibull analysis. The major competing reliability distribution for Weibull analysis is the [lognormal distribution](#) which is driven by accelerating events. For additional information read [The New Weibull Handbook](#), 5<sup>th</sup> edition by Dr. Robert B. Abernethy and use the [SuperSMITH Weibull](#) and [SuperSMITH Visual](#) software for analyzing the data (both software are bundled for a reduce price as [SuperSMITH](#)).

[Return to top](#)

### **Weibull Corrective Action-**

**What:** Starting with Weibull analysis of component failures, the shape factor  $\beta$  derived from the Weibull analysis provides an objective guide for selecting repair strategies.

**Why:** Experience has shown when shape factor beta is:

$\beta < 1$ , failure rates are declining with time as occurs with infant mortality failure modes. This condition provides a run to failure strategy. Older components are better than new components because the failure rate for the population is lower than when new.

$\beta \approx 1$ , failure rates are constant with time as occurs with chance failure modes. This condition provides a run to failure strategy (or a run until the component failure mode changes to a wearout failure mode). An old component is as good as a new component.

$\beta > 1$ , failure rates are increasing with time as occurs with wearout failure modes. If the cost of failures in service is much greater than the cost for a replacement, the component may have an optimum replacement interval for timed replacements. If the cost of failures in service is equal to or slightly

larger than for a replacement, the component may have a run to failure strategy.

Bottom line: You must know your Weibull failure modes and your costs to make a good maintenance decision.

**When:** Collect data from the [FRACAS](#) system. Perform a [Weibull analysis](#). Store the data in a [Weibull database](#). Use the Weibull facts for making fact based technical decisions.

**Where:** Weibull corrective action is used by maintenance engineers and reliability engineers. It is a useful tool for understanding scatter in the data and provides guidance for taking the appropriate corrective action.

[Return to top](#)

### **Weibull Database-**

**What:** The smartest way to maintain a reliability database is in Weibull format and [Weibull databases](#) are available. Seldom do you see Weibull databases from vendors because they jealously protect their data for proprietary reasons—they live/die financially from the Weibull database information.

**Why:** The Weibull databases simplify the complications of failure data into two statistical values of great importance:

$\beta$  tells you **HOW** things fail at the component level, and  $\eta$  tells you **WHEN** things fail.

The results are key benchmark data that tell you how you're doing.

**When:** Gather your failure data and create your own database. No one is going to give you their database because they put much sweat and tears into cleaning up the data so it is useful. The data needs to be locally generated because it tells you: 1) the life from the [grade](#) of equipment you purchase, 2) it describes the grade of operation of the equipment—do you operate it like 16-year-old teen agers or wise old men/women of 65?, 3) it describes the grade of maintenance you use to renew its life, and 4) it tells you management's expectations for how to treat the system.

**Where:** Data collections as a Weibull database seems to many to start out as a silly exercise by maintenance to accumulate data with much ridicule from the unknowledgeable about why are you spending so much effort to build a Weibull database. When adversity arises, the Weibull database becomes everyone's prized possession with proprietary information. Remember the worlds of Rudyard Kipling about plight of the English soldier: To paraphrase: In peacetime it's Tommy this and Tommy that, and Tommy get out of the way...but you let the bullets fly in wartime and it's Mr. This and Mr. That and Mr., if you please! Everyone wants the baby but no one wants the dirty diapers that go with every baby! If you don't have a Weibull database, you're already too late because your competitor has one started and is using it to your disadvantage, and he's not going to tell you why you're left in the dirt!

[Return to top](#)

**Comments:**

Refer to the caveats on the [Problem Of The Month Page](#) about the limitations of the following solution. Maybe you have a better idea on how to solve the problem. Maybe you find where I've screwed up the solution and you can point out my errors as you check my calculations. E-mail your comments, criticism, and corrections to: Paul Barringer by [clicking here](#). [Return to top of page](#).

You can download a copy of [this page as a PDF file](#).



[Return to Barringer & Associates, Inc. homepage](#)

**Last revised November 8, 2010**  
**© Barringer & Associates, Inc. 2007**